UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,565	12/20/2004	Andreas Goeke	102790-184 (30037 US)	6786
	7590 02/17/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER		
875 THIRD AV		ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID		
18TH FLOOR NEW YORK, NY 10022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/518,565	GOEKE, ANDREAS
Examiner	Art Unit
Joseph D. Anthony	1796

,	Joseph D. Anthony	1796			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			dress		
The amendment document filed on is considered 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment docum					
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE THE A 1. Amendments to the specification: A. Amended paragraph(s) do not include to the control of t	markings.	3E NON-COMPLI	ANT:		
2. Abstract:A. Not presented on a separate sheet. 37B. Other	CFR 1.72.				
 □ 3. Amendments to the drawings: □ A. The drawings are not properly identified "Annotated Sheet" as required by 37 C □ B. The practice of submitting proposed drawshowing amended figures, without mar □ C. Other 	FR 1.121(d). awing correction has been elimir	ated. Replaceme	ent drawings		
 ✓ 4. Amendments to the claims: ☐ A. A complete listing of all of the claims is ☐ B. The listing of claims does not include th ☒ C. Each claim has not been provided with of each claim cannot be identified. Not number by using one of the following s (Previously presented), (New), (Not en) ☐ D. The claims of this amendment paper had a continuation sheet. 	ne text of all pending claims (inclute the proper status identifier, and te: the status of every claim mustatus identifiers: (Original), (Curratered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn)	as such, the indiv st be indicated afte ently amended), (awn-currently ame	idual status er its claim Canceled), ended).		
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned or no	ot signed in accordance with 37 (CFR 1.4):			
For further explanation of the amendment format required	d by 37 CFR 1.121, see MPEP §	714.			
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NOTIC	E:				
 Applicant is given no new time period if the non-compliant amendment is an after-final amendment or an amendment filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resubmit the non-compliant after-final amendment with corrections, the entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted. 					
 Applicant is given one month, or thirty (30) days, whe correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one of (including a submission for a request for continued examendment filed within a suspension period under 3' Quayle action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are checonon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37 CF 	the following: a preliminary ame xamination (RCE) under 37 CFR 7 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an an cked, the correction required is o	ndment, a non-fin 1.114), a suppler nendment filed in i	nal amendment mental response to a		
Extensions of time are available under 37 CFR 1.136(a) only if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a <i>Quayle</i> action.					
Failure to timely respond to this notice will result in: Abandonment of the application if the non-compliant amendment is a non-final amendment or an amendment filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-compliant amendment is a preliminary amendment or supplemental amendment.					
/Joseph D. Anthony/ Primary Examiner					

Continuation of 4(e) Other: The claim status identifier of dependent claim 7 is incorrect and must be changed to --(withdrawn-currently amended)--. The Examiner has considered applicant's arguments for rejoinder of non-elected claim 7, set forth in the remarks section of the response filed 7/22/08. Nevertheless, the Examiner has declined to make such a rejoinder at this time for a number of reasons. One of these reasons is that claim 7, as amended, is improperly dependent on both claims 6 and 4 at the same time. Such clearly renders the metes and bounds of the scope of claim 7 indefinite. Applicant's attention is drawn to 37 CFR 1.75 which clearly states that: "Any dependent claim which refers to more than one other claim ("multiple dependent claim") shall refer to such other claim in the alternative only." Applicant's arguments for rejoinder of the other non-elected claims will be taken up if and when an elected claim is found allowable.